

## Survey on Restructuring NASA's Planetary Research and Analysis Programs

**A general description of the proposed restructuring of NASA's Planetary R&A program discussed here is available at <https://oort.psi.edu/public/rereorg>. This survey will be open until 5 PM PDT on Monday, October 7, 2019. The results will be made available before October 15.**

**Your responses will inform modifications to be made to the proposal before its submission to NASA. Thank you!**

1. Do you submit proposals to NASA ROSES Planetary Science research programs?

Yes

No

2. What ROSES programs do you primarily receive/seek funding from today?

3. If you received NASA funding before 2014, what were the primary ROSES programs from which you received funding?

4. Organizing programs on the basis of strategic goals and objectives resulted in the creation of Solar System Workings. We propose to break up SSW on the basis of target objects and location into a new set of Core Programs:

Mars Fundamental Research Program (MFRP)

Inner Planets Research and Analysis (IPRA)

- Mercury, Venus, Moon

Small Bodies Research and Analysis (SBRA)

- Asteroids (including Vesta and Ceres), Comets, Interplanetary Dust, KBOs, Dwarf Planets (excluding the Pluto-Charon System)

Outer Planets Research and Analysis (OPRA)

- Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune, Pluto-Charon Systems

- Looks good
- Mostly good, I have a few suggestions
- Keep SSW and everything else as is

Comment:

5. Mars Fundamental Research and Mars Data Analysis are kept as separate programs. If they were merged, it would be the largest program, expecting ~250 proposals submitted per year (SSW averaged 340).

- Keep Separate
- Merge

Comment:

6. Create limited duration DAPS for Dawn, New Horizons, Juno, OSIRIS-REx and future Discovery and New Frontier missions. It is suggested that such programs would commence in the ROSES year after data is available the PDS, and would continue for at least three calls after end of mission.

Yes

No

Comment:

7. The proposal maintains existing data analysis programs including LDAP, MDAP, CDAP, and any individual mission DAPs. DDAP and NFDAP would be merged into the Research and Analysis programs covering the targets of respective missions (e.g., MESSENGER -> IPRA; Rosetta -> SBRA).

Yes

Keep DDAP and NFDAP as is

Comment:

8. The proposal moves all geological maps that include scientific analysis from DAPs into MFRP, IPRA, SBRA, and OPRA.

This is fine

All geological maps, with or without scientific analysis, should be funded through a new Planetary Geologic Mapping Program

Comment:

9. Geologic maps without scientific analysis should no longer be funded by PDART, but included in other map-funding programs.

- Yes
- No, keep geologic mapping without scientific analysis in PDART

Comment:

10. Should LARS include Apollo and other returned lunar samples?

- Yes
- No

Comment:

11. Meteorite studies should be

- In a single program - an expanded Emerging Worlds
- In EW, MFR, IPRA, SBRA, OPRA as appropriate

Comment:

12. Is any relevant planetary science research area not covered under the proposed scheme? If yes, what is that and what program(s) should cover it?

13. Any Other Survey Comments